Psyche, Nightmare and Religion: Precursors of Colonial Conquest in Ahmed Yerima’s *Attahiru*
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Abstract
This paper is premised on the connection between history and drama in order to profile the historical characters or heroes and explicate the socio-political predicament in their society. Existing studies on historical plays have focused on the celebration and chronicling of the heroic deeds of the historical characters, sometimes ignoring the artistic techniques the playwright employed. This study, therefore, examines psyche, nightmare and religion as the stylistic techniques for establishing the sources of disintegration in an imperial environment. This is with a view to investigating the link between the conflicts of the protagonist and the predicaments of his society. The study is based on psychoanalysis that allows for the investigation of characters’ unconscious motives and collective archetypes. The text, *Attahiru* is subjected to critical textual analysis in both content and form to analyse the paradigmatic use of history, dream and religion to account for the colonial conquest of an African society. Various patterns of unconscious archetypes such as psyche, nightmare, daydream and religion were discovered to serve as techniques of foreshadowing and flashback to the physical and psychological conflicts in the play. They serve as signifiers of the protagonist’s traumas. These unconscious archetypes, therefore, have become the catalysts for disintegration and veritable strategy in Ahmed Yerima’s account of imperial history.
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1. Introduction

*Attahiru* is a play that depicts the heroic resistance to British imperialism by the Islamic Sokoto caliphate. It is a dramatisation of historical Sultan Muhammadu Attahiru I of Sokoto during colonial rule under the leadership of Fredrick Lugard in the early 19th century Nigeria. The title, *Attahiru* is eponymous of the hero and protagonist of the play who ascends the throne in November in 1902 as the twelfth caliph of Sokoto and Sarkin Musulmi. Existing studies on *Attahiru* have focused on the celebration and profiling of Attahiru as a historical hero who suffers as a result of domineering nature and attack of British colonialists in his caliphate with little or no consideration for the innate and attributive characteristics of the monarch that contribute immensely to the imperial subjugation and conquest of his kingdom. This, of course, is the crux of the paper.

In the history of northern Nigeria and Islam in Nigeria, Sokoto remains a great influence and the seat of the northern religious and political power. This is also brought to focus in the play. The caliphate under Attahiru, as seen in the text, is a strong military power with unalloyed loyalty of the people to the constituted authority and religion, which is Islam. Caliph Attahiru I wears the crown and holds the baton of leadership that is laden with both spiritual and physical responsibilities. Unfortunately, Attahiru, the hero and protagonist, is enthroned at a critical and turbulent period. When the colonialists are waxing stronger in their bid to capture and control the social, economic and political activities of both the northern and southern protectorates of Nigeria, Attahiru has reigned for barely six months before the irresistible British military penetrate the northern communities. This British military penetration is so fierce that it captures and controls some northern towns and cities that are notably warlike and more militantly dexterous and skilful than Sokoto, the major setting of the play and also the seat of the caliphate. These cities are Kano, Zaria, Kontagora and others. The news of the successful penetration and conquest of the British forces prepares the ground for the resistance championed by Attahiru I in the Sokoto Caliphate.

As Phillip Holden (2011:22) affirms that “anticolonial life narratives and plays are not unconcerned with community and the community-defined nature itself…”, the resistant attitudes of Sokoto as championed by Attahiru emanate from the theories of Islamic concept of international relations, which are opposed to non-Islamic government (Akoh,
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2. Aesthetics of Psyche

Right from the beginning of the play, Yerima focuses on the mind of the protagonist. He portrays the emotional feeling

of Attahiru as the main bane of conflict of cultural contact. Immediately after the enthronement of Attahiru, he begins

to have internal fears about the socio-political situation of his domain. This escalates into a misgiving in his heart over

whether his reign will be characterised by peace and political success or not. His short and maiden speech as the Caliph

attests to this:

I am becoming the caliph at a time when the history of our lives is at a delicate balance. At a time

when the whiteman is determined to upset the peace of our lives. But it is too early to dare enemies,

or look for one. I shall await their moves. But let us pray for Allah’s hand in the matter. Let us pray

for peace. Let us pray for our children, let us pray for the growth of our lives and position in the

Islamic world. Thank you all. (Attahiru, 21)

Yerima artistically privileges the state of the mind of the protagonist above the excitement and celebration that

must accompany the coronation of a king. Even the conciseness of the speech is a pointer to the fact that the

presenter is in a pensive mood occasioned by the prevailing social, economic and political situations in the land. It

can be seen from the speech that there is a repressed fear and psychological imbalances in the personality of the

protagonist and the hero. This speech also reveals a lot about the characterisation of Attahiru; it shows that he is a

man that is very reflective and will readily yield to the dictates of his mind.

To worsen the repressed fear and imbalance in his heart, there is the land and well dispute between two prominent

members of his society, Sarkin Zango and Sarkin Fatake. This dispute portends internal disintegration in the land.

To combine internal problem with the external problem and fear from the looming British encroachments will

become too cumbersome and turbulent to his unconscious mind. Therefore, he makes an appeal thus:

Like good Muslim brothers, we must find common grounds for peace. The times we are in are not
times for land and well problem. We all heard what happened to great Kano. The Emir, Aliyu who
It is unfortunate to describe this king as a weakling in this context. Yerima, here, has presented a leader that is not assertive as a result of his confused state of mind. Attahiru fails to assert himself and his domain heroically. The author even reveals to the audience, from his state of mind, that he will lead his people to destruction without getting to the Promised Land.

Yerima aesthetically presents the psychological mindset of the hero, Attahiru, as the aesthetic technique of the plot structure and as the vehicle for the development of characters. Caliph Attahiru is presented as showing feelings of dejection and failure when it comes to international matters. This similarly reveals to the audience that Yerima’s hero and protagonist is a weakling who will never survive the pangs of colonialism. This is a reflection of Shakespearean view that cowards die many times before their time but the valiant taste death but once. Lord Lugard sends a letter about his coming to Sokoto. He has not even come when Attahiru begins to have a premonition of defeat. The conversation between Attahiru and his son, Mai Wurno, attests to this:

CALIPH: Promise me two things for as the blood of Sheu Dan Fodio flows in your veins, that you will never allow the infidels capture the flag of Islam and therefore extinguish the light of our people

MAI WURNO: Never Baba

CALIPH: Second, that you will never attempt to make yourself by force, the Sarkin Musulumi after me. Allah chooses the Caliph. If Allah chooses you then, may your reign be a peaceful one, if not hold on only to the light.

MAI WURNO: I give you my word father. Yet, I do not understand, we are only four months into your reign (Attahiru, 39).

This premonitory talk surprises even the son, because his father has just spent four months on the throne and he is foreshadowing or predicting an untimely end to his throne. This is also surprising to the audience because a peace talk has neither started nor a disagreement between the caliphate and the colonial lords ensued. What has happened is only the transmission of a letter asking for peace talk. It is, therefore, suffice to say that, Yerima presents the psyche of his fictional character to reveal the plot structure’s complication and denouement.

The essence of the presentation of human psyche at the expense of the historical conflict is to privilege the individual weakness and strength above the social, cultural and historical conflict. Yerima uses the mindset of Attahiru to redefine history of the colonisation of northern Nigeria to show the distinction between the conscious and the unconscious mind of the colonised. What Attahiru ought to have done in action, he allows his mind to over dwell on in theory. This results in his ineffective handling of a critical and historic issue like colonialism.

3. Aesthetic of Nightmare

A remarkable and effective technique the playwright employs is nightmare. The major effect of nightmare is to create fear, anxiety, discomfort and terror to the dreamer, which will later affect his or her decision, actions and inactions (Adeboye, 2010; Cleary, 2008; Harris, 2009 & Hollis, 2000). Nightmare often puts a searchlight on the thinking ability of the dreamer and it propels the dreamer to face up to the challenges of real life.

In Attahiru, Caliph Attahiru has a nightmare that makes him to be restless and anxious in his conscious mind. He becomes inquisitive to know the likely implication or the interpretation of the symbolic creations that surface in the dream. Consequent upon the nightmare, he summons his two sons and Mallam (Koranic teacher and spiritual guardian) in order to know the direction of his reign and society. He narrated his nightmare:

I had a dream; it has become more repeated in recent times. It worries me... Always, it starts in the early hours of the morning there is smoke, heavy smoke, it is at the battle field. Dead warriors littered everywhere. Then images of my ancestors appeared in a circle round me. They pass the flag from one hand to the other. As they chant laillaha, illalah, I watched helplessly stretching my hands to collect the flag. I watched helplessly as Caliph Atiku gives it to Muazu, then to Caliph Unoni, then to Abdul-Rahman, and as he passes it to me, the flag falls, dripping blood. In all this smoky confusion, Dan Magaji tries to help me pick it up, but he is tripped by a white pebble, he too falling on his sword. The dream subsumes me in thought...... Oh wise Mallam. (41).

The crucial symbolisms in this nightmare are religion and war, the flag obviously representing Islam (religion) while “battle field, dead warriors and blood” symbolise war and death. It should be noted also that the nightmare has been a recurring one and its re-occurrence proves what Freud (Thurschwell, 2000:47) calls symbolic condensation, which is a
Religion is a phenomenal aspect of human mind and life. Religion is seen as belief in and worship of a supernatural controlling power, especially a personal god or gods. It is also seen as the practice that someone or some groups devote their entire life to. *Attahiru* is a play that exemplifies people’s strong obsession with religion. Attahiru strongly believes and devotes his time, life and activities to religion, specifically Islam. All his actions and inactions are informed by their entire life to.

4. Religion and Religiosity

Religion is a phenomenal aspect of human mind and life. Religion is seen as belief in and worship of a supernatural controlling power, especially a personal god or gods. It is also seen as the practice that someone or some groups devote their entire life to. *Attahiru* is a play that exemplifies people’s strong obsession with religion. Attahiru strongly believes and devotes his time, life and activities to religion, specifically Islam. All his actions and inactions are informed by religion. Religiosity, on the other hand, is the quality of being too religious.
Attahiru I is still alive; “I am the Waziri of Sokoto, our Caliph Attahiru has left Sokoto and we the remaining people here want peace, welcome the stay of Lugard and his men. They even suggest the choice of a new Caliph to Lugard while Attahiru is for the Caliph but when the British force eventually captures the Caliphate, they decline from following Attahiru. They play by some of Attahiru’s palace chiefs, that is Waziri, Madawaki, Sarkin and Kwani who recommend war and hijra.

Another area where the unconscious state of mind becomes an integral part of the discussion is the irony seen in the way in which the playwright places the issue in the context of Islam (religion). It is true that the play captures the nationalistic zeal and yearnings of the Caliphate and their leaders when it comes to decision-making and it portends the futility in joining forces to fight the white men who are militarily superior to the Emirate forces. These allied Emirate forces could not help themselves against British forces when the white men come to their kingdoms. They make an agreement of friendship but the question that lingers is why then the show of solidarity for the Caliph. The futility in joining forces to fight the white men who are militarily superior to the Emirate forces is instructive. This ridicules the level of psychological and intellectual thinking of the members of the Emirates. This makes the play to reflect or depict the psychic context of the characters over or above the historical predicament.

In a careful examination of the characters in the play, it will be seen that the playwright uses religion as an instrument of disintegration rather than integration and unity. The protagonist is engrossed with religion but other members of the society and of the same religion allow the white men peace talk results in the erosion of peace and unity in the land. Lugard knows that the northern people of Nigeria are too engrossed with religion. Therefore, he prepares for them. Attahiru’s religiosity prevents him from seeing the other side of strangers who are not Muslims. Lugard declares that his intention is not to fight but Attahiru causes it “the whiteman did not just start the fight, he was provoked. He came as a friend and your Caliph said no, so we fight your Caliph, fighting a visitor is wrong.”

David Ekanem (2012:13) posits that “for enriching knowledge and scholarships in African post colonial discourse... the historical settings of the dramas and narratives are important to provoke new perspective in thinking or envisioning the realities of the old African world against the backdrop of colonial landscape”. Bearing this view in mind, the artistic success of Attahiru as a re-interpretation of events surrounding the conquest of the Sokoto Caliphate can be aligned to the way in which the playwright places the issue in the context of Islam (religion). It is true that the play captures the nationalistic zeal and yearnings of the Caliphate and their leaders when it comes to decision-making and it portends their over-dependence on the dictates of their religion.

In the plot structure of the play, there is an option opened to Attahiru and his court officials, either to choose peace and cooperation between the British and his people or choose war:

Tell the infidel that we did not invite him to interfere with our problems. He has his religion and we have ours ... as my predecessor Caliph Abdutraham had earlier said the only relationship that can exist between a believer and an infidel is... war! (33).

From the above, an infidel is a person that does not belong to or believe in Islam. It suggests that it is only a believer in Islam worthy to be dialogued with. The failure to embrace peace talk results in the erosion of peace and unity in the land. Lugard knows that the northern people of Nigeria are too engrossed with religion. Therefore, he prepares for them. Attahiru’s religiosity prevents him from seeing the other side of strangers who are not Muslims. Lugard declares that his intention is not to fight but Attahiru causes it “the whiteman did not just start the fight, he was provoked. He came as a friend and your Caliph said no, so we fight your Caliph, fighting a visitor is wrong.”

David Ekanem (2012:13) posits that “for enriching knowledge and scholarships in African post colonial discourse... the historical settings of the dramas and narratives are important to provoke new perspective in thinking or envisioning the realities of the old African world against the backdrop of colonial landscape”. Bearing this view in mind, the artistic success of Attahiru as a re-interpretation of events surrounding the conquest of the Sokoto Caliphate can be aligned to the way in which the playwright places the issue in the context of Islam (religion). It is true that the play captures the nationalistic zeal and yearnings of the Caliphate and their leaders when it comes to decision-making and it portends their over-dependence on the dictates of their religion.

In a careful examination of the characters in the play, it will be seen that the playwright uses religion as an instrument of disintegration rather than integration and unity. The protagonist is engrossed with religion but other members of the society and of the same religion allow the white men peace talk to prevail. The playwright, from the various attitudes of the Northern Emirate to religion and strangers, portrays dramatic effect of irony. Ironically, the warriors of the Emirates of Kano, Gombe, Kontagora and others come together to help Caliph Attahiru to wage war against the British force. These allied Emirate forces could not help themselves against British forces when the white men come to their kingdoms. They make an agreement of friendship but the question that lingers is why then the show of solidarity for the Caliph. The futility in joining forces to fight the white men who are militarily superior to the Emirate forces is instructive. This ridicules the level of psychological and intellectual thinking of the members of the Emirates. This makes the play to reflect or depict the psychic context of the characters over or above the historical predicament.

Another area where the unconscious state of mind becomes an integral part of the discussion is the irony seen in the play by some of Attahiru’s palace chiefs, that is Waziri, Madawaki, Sarkin and Kwani who recommend war and hijra for the Caliph but when the British force eventually captures the Caliphate, they decline from following Attahiru. They welcome the stay of Lugard and his men. They even suggest the choice of a new Caliph to Lugard while Attahiru I is still alive; “I am the Waziri of Sokoto, our Caliph Attahiru has left Sokoto and we the remaining people here want peace”. This Waziri is the one who suggested war before and he is now seeking peace. The playwright’s artistic use of religion and betrayal in this context still points to the fact that he creates characters that are feeble-minded, characters that do not have strong will like Lugard and his forces.

5. Conclusion

It is, therefore, appropriate to say that the author places the psyches of the characters far above the historical conflict. The playwright successfully depicts that the psychological and religious desire of the historical character and fictional hero, Attahiru, is a mere wishful thinking and this shows why the real purgation of emotion that usually accompanies tragedy or tragic hero is lessened. This finding does not agree with Uwatt’s (2007) submission that the conquest of European colonialist over Attahiru’s caliphate is largely due to internal disunity and intrigues among Attahiru’s subjects. The finding also contrasts Akoh’s claim that attributes the fall of Attahiru and his caliphate to imperialist pseudo friendship. There are options to thwart the trend of the tragedy but because of dreams, their interpretation and religion, the protagonist, Attahiru, faces a tragic end. He is more portrayed or seen as a martyr than a tragic hero.
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