Back Squat Potentiates Both Vertical and Horizontal Jump Performance in Collegiate Ice Hockey Players
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ABSTRACT

Background: Back squats (BSQ) have been shown to transiently improve performance in explosive vertical movements such as the vertical jump (VJ). Still, understanding of this phenomenon, termed post-activation potentiation (PAP), remains nebulous as it relates to explosive horizontal movements. Objective: Therefore, the purpose of the present investigation was to assess whether heavy BSQ can potentiate both VJ and horizontal jump (HJ) performance. Method: Nine male ice hockey players from the Long Beach State ice hockey team performed five testing sessions separated by 96-hours. The first testing session consisted of a one repetition maximum (1-RM) BSQ to determine subsequent testing loads. The four subsequent testing sessions, which were randomized for order, consisted of five repetitions of BSQ at 87% 1-RM followed by horizontal jump (BSQ-HJ), five repetitions of BSQ at 87% 1-RM followed by vertical jump (BSQ-VJ), horizontal jump only (CT-HJ) and vertical jump only (CT-VJ). During the potentiated conditions, rest intervals were set at five minutes between the BSQ and either VJ or HJ. Alpha-level was set a priori at 0.05. Results: The results indicate that both vertical (p=0.017) and horizontal (p=0.003) jump were significantly increased (VJ= +5.51cm, HJ= +11.55cm) following a BSQ. Conclusion: These findings suggest that BSQ may improve both vertical and horizontal jump performance in athletes who participate in sports emphasizing horizontal power, such as ice hockey.

Keywords: Plyometric Exercise, Muscle Strength, Resistance Training, Back Squat, Acute Exercise

INTRODUCTION

Heavy-load lifting has been shown to transiently improve muscular power (Hodgson, Docherty and Robbins, 2005, Tillin and Bishop, 2009). This phenomenon, termed post activation potentiation (PAP), is maximized by performing an explosive movement task subsequent to a heavily loaded lift that shares a similar movement pattern (Golas, Maszczczyk, Zajac, Mikolajec and Stastny, 2016). PAP is influenced by a combination of factors such as volume and intensity, intraset and intertask rest intervals, participant characteristics and the type of exercise performed (Hodgson, Docherty and Robbins, 2005, Seitz and Haff, 2016, Seitz, Mina and Haff, 2016, Tillin and Bishop, 2009, Tobin and Delahunt, 2014). Used effectively in a training program, PAP may enhance sensitivity to power training such as plyometrics (Docherty and Hodgson, 2007, Tillin and Bishop, 2009). As peak performance in most sports is a function of maximizing muscular power, PAP training may be an important asset to resistance training programs (Docherty and Hodgson, 2007).

Back squats (BSQ), which are ubiquitously used in exercise training, have consistently been shown to potentiate vertical jump (VJ) performance across various populations (Docherty and Hodgson, 2007, Evetovich, Conley and McCawley, 2015, Seitz and Haff, 2016, Seitz, Mina and Haff, 2016, Tillin and Bishop, 2009, Tobin and Delahunt, 2014). VJ height is a measure of lower body power that is commonly used as an indicator of athletic potential since explosive leg power correlates to performance in many sports (Burkett, Phillips and Ziuraitis, 2005, Gourgoulis, Aggeloussis, Kasimatis, Mavromatis and Garas, 2003). Robust VJ potentiation occurs following BSQ performed with loads of up to 87% of the one repetition maximum (1-RM) and four to eight minutes of post-squat rest (Hodgson, Docherty and Robbins, 2005, Kilduff, Owen, Bevan, Bennett, Kingsley and Cunningham, 2008, Nibali, Chapman, Robergs and Drinkwater, 2015, Seitz and Haff, 2016, Tillin and Bishop, 2009). The apparent efficacy of BSQ-VJ potentiation may be due to shared activation patterns in lower body musculature, including: quadriceps, hamstrings, gastrocnemius, gluteus maximus, gluteus medius, plantar flexors, dorsiflexors, abdominals and spinal erectors (Delavier, 2010, Docherty and Hodgson, 2007, Gullett, Tillman, Gutierrez and Chow, 2009).
Seitz and Haff, 2016, Tillin and Bishop, 2009). Although VJ predominates PAP research, it is imperative to explore alternative movement patterns that may be potentiated by BSQ as certain sports do not emphasize vertical movement patterns.

One such sport is ice hockey, which emphasizes horizontal movement patterns instead of vertical. In fact, the musculoskeletal fitness assessment section of the National Ice Hockey League (NHL) combine utilizes standing horizontal jump performance as their primary means of assessing muscular power (Glendhill, 2007). High scores on this assessment indicate a player’s ability to skate powerfully, shoot hard, and push opponents out of the way (Glendhill, 2007). Yet, a review of current PAP research reveals a dearth of literature surrounding the sport of ice hockey as well as the nature of horizontal jump potentiation; to date, just one study using rugby players has reported that loaded BSQ can potentiate HJ performance (Seitz, Mina and Haff, 2016). Furthermore, insufficient data exists in support of whether the aforementioned BSQ-VJ potentiation extends to athletes specializing in sports which stress horizontal movement. Therefore, the present study examined collegiate ice hockey players to pursue the following aims: 1) to clarify whether BSQ-VJ potentiation extends to athletes competing in horizontal movement-dominant sports, 2) to assess whether HJ is also sensitive to BSQ-induced potentiation, and 3) to compare levels of VJ and HJ potentiation. The primary hypothesis follows that heavy BSQ will potentiate both VJ and HJ performance.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental Approach

Participants performed all five testing sessions. The first testing session consisted of a 1-RM BSQ. The four remaining testing sessions, performed in random order, consisted of: 1) back squat followed by horizontal jump (BSQ-HJ), 2) back squat followed by vertical jump (BSQ-VJ), 3) horizontal jump only (CT-HJ) and 4) vertical jump only (CT-VJ). Participants completed five BSQ repetitions at 87% of their 1-RM during the BSQ-HJ and BSQ-VJ testing sessions. Table 1 summarizes the study design.

Participants

Nine male ice hockey players (22 ± 0.47 years) from the Long Beach State University club ice hockey team voluntarily participated for this study (Table 2). Participants were college level athletes with experience in ice hockey (11 ± 1.47 years) and resistance training (4 ± 0.94 years). The Institutional Review Board of Long Beach State University approved the research protocol. Participants signed a Physical Activity Readiness Questionnaire (PAR-Q) and informed consent before participation. Inclusion criteria consisted of: 1) active member of LBSU ice hockey team, 2) free from unresolved orthopedic injuries for the preceding 12 months, 3) free from diagnosed cardiopulmonary or metabolic disease, 4) two or more years of resistance training experience, and 5) five or more years of ice hockey experience.

Instrumentation and Tools

For the BSQ, a 45 lb standard Olympic barbell and bumper plates (45, 35, 25, 15, 10 lbs.) were utilized (Rogue® Columbus, OH). Participants performed the BSQ inside a squat rack (Rogue® Columbus, OH). A Vertec® (Sports Imports Inc., OH) jumping apparatus was used to measure vertical jump (VJ) height to the nearest (cm). The horizontal jump (HJ) performance test was assessed on the floor using a cloth tape measure with increments to the nearest (cm) (Jackson, 2017).

Procedures

Testing encompassed five separate sessions, each separated by 96-hours, during which participants refrained from resistance exercise. Past reports confirm robust VJ potentiation following BSQ performed with loads of to 87% 1-RM and four to eight minute post-squat rest periods (Hodgson, Docherty and Robbins, 2005, Kilduff, Owen, Bevan, Bennett, Kingsley and Cunningham, 2008, Seitz and Haff, 2016, Seitz, Mina and Haff, 2016, Tillin and Bishop, 2009). Thus, we chose a BSQ intensity level of 87% 1-RM as well as five minute post-squat rest periods for the BSQ-VJ and BSQ-HJ conditions. The first testing session consisted of a 1-RM BSQ in order to calculate the load corresponding to 87% of the 1-RM load. All participants successfully performed five repetitions of BSQ at 87% 1-RM during the BSQ-VJ and BSQ-HJ testing sessions. The 1-RM BSQ protocol was adopted from the National Strength and Conditioning Association (Baechle, 2008). Briefly, participants first performed five to ten repetitions at a light resistance (<30% projected 1-RM) as part of a squat-specific warm-up. Following a one minute rest period, three to five more repetitions were performed with an additional 30-40lbs. Participants then rested for two minutes before performing two to three repetitions with an additional 30-40lbs. All subsequent sets included two minute rest periods and only single repetitions with incremental increases between 10-40 lbs. until the 1-RM was completed. Load was incrementally added to achieve the 1-RM within 3-5 sets. A general warm up protocol for all five testing sessions (1-RM, BSQ-HJ, BSQ-VJ, CT-HJ, CT-VJ) began with a three to five minute warm up on a stationary bike at a self-selected resistance. A choice was given after the three minute mark whether they wanted to continue until five minutes or began their mandatory athletic drills and ballistic stretches. Next a series of athletic drills (internal/external hip rotations, lateral shuffles, high knees, butt kicks) were performed. Athletic drills were performed twice over the length of the laboratory. Two ballistic stretching exercises (frontal and lateral leg swings) were utilized after the athletic drills prior to beginning the testing session (Delavier, 2010, Ojeda, Rios, Barrilao and Serrano, 2016, Tobin and Delahunt, 2014). Participants performed five repetitions using 87% of their 1-RM load for the BSQ-HJ and BSQ-VJ conditions. Participants were encouraged to use a squat stance width and bar placement that was consistent with their typical technique. Depth of the squat was strictly enforced; terminal range was reached once the inguinal...
crease and proximal portion of the knee were level (Baechle, 2008, Reardon, Hoffman, Mangine, Wells, Gonzalez, Jajtner, Townsend, McCormack, Stout, Fragala and Fukuda, 2014). Squat depth was visually monitored by two certified strength and conditioning specialists (CSCS), one on each side of the lifter. Following the BSQ, participants rested for 5 minutes before performing either the VJ or HJ (Ray, 2017). The NHL Entry Draft protocol was used to assess HJ (Glendhill, 2007). The participants stood with feet slightly apart with their toes behind the jumping line. Using an arm swing the participants jumped as far as possible, and the average of the three trials was used. Distance was recorded to the nearest (cm) from the jumping line to the heel mark utilizing a cloth tape measure. The VJ was assessed using a Vertec® apparatus following the National Strength and Conditioning Association vertical jump protocol (Baechle, 2008). Participants were not required to squat to a certain depth. VJ was recorded to the nearest ¼ inch from the average of three jumps and later converted to (cm).

### Statistical Analysis

VJ and HJ potentiation was assessed by paired samples t-tests (SPSS version 24). Effect sizes were determined by Cohen’s d for paired samples t-test (Lakens, 2013), which assessed the magnitude of the change in VJ and HJ performance following BSQ. These changes were considered trivial <0.20; small, 0.20–0.50; medium, 0.5–0.8; large, 0.8–1.30; or very large, >1.30 (Hopkins, 2010). Shapiro-Wilk test confirmed normality for all conditions (Sig >0.05). Alpha-level was set a priori at 0.05.

### RESULTS

The characteristics of participants are illustrated in table 2. Our primary objective was to examine the effect of BSQ on VJ and HJ performance in athletes participating in horizontal movement-dominant sports. To this end, we subjected nine collegiate ice hockey players to four randomized testing sessions (CT-VJ, BSQ-VJ, CT-HJ, BSQ-HJ). VJ performance was significantly increased (+5.51 cm) following the BSQ (p=0.017). HJ performance was also significantly increased (+11.55 cm) following the BSQ (p=0.003). Additionally, Cohen’s d revealed a large effect size for both VJ (1.00) and HJ (1.38). Table 3 summarizes the horizontal and vertical jump distances in both testing conditions.

### DISCUSSION

The present study examined whether loaded BSQ could potentiate VJ and HJ performance in collegiate ice hockey players. Herein, we demonstrate that performing five repetitions of BSQ at 87% 1-RM significantly potentiates both VJ and HJ performance in collegiate ice hockey players. Furthermore, effect size (ES) calculation revealed a large (ES>0.8) magnitude of improvement for each jump following BSQ. The current findings concerning VJ performance have been thoroughly corroborated by past studies which have established that potentiation may occur four to eight minutes following near maximal intensity (>80% 1-RM) dynamic movements or isometric contractions (Evetovich, Conley and McCawley, 2015, Nibali, Chapman, Robergs and Drinkwater, 2015, Seitz, Mina and Haff, 2016, Tillin and Bishop, 2009, Tobin and Delahunt, 2014). Additionally, our data are in-line with past PAP research which has shown significant VJ potentiation following a BSQ at 87% 1-RM (Kilduff, Owen, Bevan, Bennett, Kingsley and Cunningham, 2008, Reardon, Hoffman, Mangine, Wells, Gonzalez,

**Table 1. Study Design**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Sets</th>
<th>Reps</th>
<th>S.I. (% 1-RM)</th>
<th>R.I. (min)</th>
<th>HJ (cm)</th>
<th>VJ (cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-RM</td>
<td>3-5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSQ-HJ</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>HJ</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSQ-VJ</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>VJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT-HJ</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>Warm up</td>
<td>HJ</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT-VJ</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>Warm up</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>VJ</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1-RM=Back Squat Max Test; BSQ=Back Squat; CT=Control; HJ=Horizontal Jump; VJ=Vertical Jump; S.I. = Squat Intensity; R.I. = Rest Interval

**Table 2. Subject Descriptive Data**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Mean±SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>188.62±2.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>87.94±20.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT (yrs)</td>
<td>4.02±2.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HE (yrs)</td>
<td>11.01±4.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (yrs)</td>
<td>22.04±1.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-RM</td>
<td>86.22±35.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SE=Standard Deviation RT=Resistance Training Experience HE=Ice Hockey Experience

**Table 3. Horizontal and Vertical Jump Distance (mean±standard error)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Jump Distance (cm)</th>
<th>Effect Size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CT-VJ</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>54.45±3.34</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSQ-VJ</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>59.96±3.20†</td>
<td>1.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT-HJ</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>199.35±8.51</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSQ-HJ</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>210.92±7.98*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CT-HJ=Horizontal Jump – Control; BSQ-HJ=Back Squat – Horizontal Jump; CT-VJ=Vertical Jump – Control; BSQ-VJ=Back Squat – Vertical Jump; *P<0.05 versus CT-HJ; †P<0.05 versus CT-VJ
that strength and conditioning practitioners may benefit from incorporating potentiation complexes in order to improve horizontal power in athletes who must excel in a horizontal direction. Future research should explore the extent to which varying levels of relative BSQ strength may influence the magnitude of VJ and HJ potentiation, and whether horizontal potentiation is expressed by athletes who participate in non-horizontal dominant sports.
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