Unusual Self-inflicted Penetrating Brain Injury: A Case Report and Review of Literature
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Introduction

Penetrating Brain Injuries (PBIs) usually result in devastating problems and therapeutic challenges. These injuries may occur due to motor vehicle accidents, gunshot wounds or foreign sharp objects such as a knife. Review of related psychiatric articles shows that the patients with mood disorders or psychotic problems may use unusual self-injury methods or tools. Gunshot wounds to the head are common in different countries but there are some case reports explaining unusual suicide attempts such as PBIs caused by sharp objects (e.g. a nail). Surgical approaches of these types of PBIs are controversial and variable based on the literature. In fact, there are different proposed operative techniques including “craniectomy”, “double concentric craniotomy” and “blind extraction”. Each of these methods may have advantages and disadvantages.

In this article we present a new method which is named “penisular craniotomy”. This technique can minimize the movement of the sharp objects (e.g. a nail) within the involved critical tissues such as superior sagittal sinus (SSS) or intracerebral parenchyma during extraction. Moreover, because of the maximized visual field, the surgeon can easily control possible intraoperative complications such as bleeding of SSS.

Case report and operative technique

A 50-year-old man, with a past medical history of “major depression” and “unsuccessful suicide attempts”, was referred to our hospital because of self-inflicted PBI by a 20 cm long nail within the middle part of SSS (image 1).
Immediately after trauma, his consciousness had been reduced and on admission his Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) was 6. After primary management, intubation, oxygenation, ventilation and volume resuscitation, we performed imaging studies. His x-ray and computed tomography (CT) can be seen in the related images 2, 3 and 4. Later, we started surgical management. After shaving the scalp and sterile preparation, the patient was placed prone on the operating table. The patient’s head was slightly elevated to reduce intraoperative complications. An “S incision” was made to incorporate the nail. This type of incision maximized our visual field. Four bur holes were placed on the both sides of SSS (Image 5 and 6).

We performed craniotomy in a clockwise direction, i.e. craniotomy was started from the 1st bur hole to the 2nd one with a 5-mm bony bridge between them. Then, from the 3rd one to the 4th and a 5-mm bony bridge again. Moreover, we used Kerrison punch to remove the bone around SSS and between “the 4th and 1st” as well as “the 2nd and 3rd”
bur holes. After the release of the underlying dura, we used Kerrison punch again to remove the nail very gently in a constant direction (Image 7).

We used “surgiceles” to control the mild hemorrhage. Afterwards, a curvilinear incision was made over the entrance of the nail. We evaluated SSS and cerebral parenchyma. Then, after complete hemostasis, the dural edges were approximated in watertight fashion with 4-0 silk sutures and cranioplasty (Image 8).

The patient was discharged without any complication and we followed him in 3-month intervals for one year.

Discussion

PBI is a traumatic brain injury (TBI) caused by low-velocity sharp objects (e.g. a knife or nail) or high-velocity projectiles (shell fragments or bullets). In recent years, road traffic accidents are the main causes of PBIs. There are some rare case reports of self-inflicted PBIs by nail. These attempts are usually of low-velocity nature. As a result, on primary physical examination, it is not expected to find a severe scalp laceration, expanded skull fracture or intracerebral hemorrhage (ICH).

As mentioned earlier, there are paradoxical operative techniques to treat PBI by sharp objects. Some authors believe that blind extraction is an acceptable method. Based on this view, the post-extraction hemorrhage is unusual. On the other hand, there are some reports of the severe complications of this method such as subdural hematoma (SDH), rupture of middle cerebral branches and even ICH. For this reason, there are some other techniques including craniectomy and double concentric craniotomy.
Our proposed method is “peninsular craniotomy”. In this approach, the surgeon can control any possible complication because of maximized visual filed. Moreover, the movements of sharp objects within brain are minimized during extraction which leads to reduced parenchymal injury.

**Conclusion**

Penetrating Brain Injuries and involvement of areas like superior sagittal sinus should be managed with a safe approach and without secondary parenchymal injury. “Peninsular craniotomy”, as a model, can help the surgeons to minimize intra- and postoperative complications of these cases compared with other techniques.
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